For the Northern District of California

NOT FOR CITATION IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HERBERT ALEXANDER,) No. C 09-04773 JF (PR)
Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS
VS.	
JOHN W. HAVILAND, Warden,	
Respondent.	
	(Docket Nos. 6 & 7)

Petitioner, a state prisoner, filed a <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the petition as second or successive. (Docket No. 6.) Petitioner filed a motion to withdraw the petition, to allow him to seek leave from the Ninth Circuit to file a second or successive petition. (Docket No. 7.)

It is undisputed that Petitioner previously filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus which was denied on the merits on August 6, 1996. See C-94-2535 MMC (OEW); (Resp't Mot. at 1). The instant petition is therefore the second filed by Petitioner challenging the same conviction and sentence. A district court must dismiss claims presented in a second or successive habeas petition challenging the

same conviction and sentence unless the claims presented in the previous petition
were denied for failure to exhaust. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1); Babbitt v.
Woodford, 177 F.3d 744, 745-46 (9th Cir. 1999). Additionally, a district court must
dismiss any new claims raised in a successive petition unless the petitioner received
an order from the court of appeals authorizing the district court to consider the
petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(2).

Here, the instant petition challenges the same sentence as the previous petition and Petitioner has not presented an order from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals authorizing this Court to consider any new claims. Petitioner's first petition was adjudicated on the merits in this Court's order denying the petition in August 1996. Accordingly, this Court must dismiss the instant petition in its entirety.

Respondent's motion to dismiss, (Docket No. 6), is GRANTED. The instant petition is DISMISSED as a second and successive petition pursuant to § 2244 (b)(1). Petitioner's motion to withdraw the petition, (Docket No. 7), is DENIED as moot.

This order terminates Docket Nos. 6 and 7.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: $_{-}^{5/17/10}$

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HERBERT ALEXANDER,	Case Number: CV09-04773 JF
Petitioner,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
V.	
JOHN W. HAVILAND, Warden,	
Respondent.	_/
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am Court, Northern District of California.	an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a pos	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the stage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) ope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into in the Clerk's office.
Herbert Alexander E-50502 California State Prison-Solano P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, CA 95696	
Dated: 5/21/10	
	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk